

4 **Similar risk of malignancy with insulin glargine and neutral**
 5 **protamine Hagedorn (NPH) insulin in patients with type 2**
 6 **diabetes: findings from a 5 year randomised,**
 7 **open-label study**

8 **J. Rosenstock · V. Fonseca · J. B. McGill · M. Riddle ·**
 9 **J. P. Hallé · I. Hramiak · P. Johnston · M. Davis**

10 Received: 26 June 2009 / Accepted: 29 June 2009

11 © The Author(s) 2009. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

12

13 **Keywords** Cancer · Insulin analogues · Insulin glargine ·
 14 Insulin therapy · Malignancy · NPH insulin · Type 2 diabetes

15 **Abbreviations**

16 MedDRA Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities
 17 NPH Neutral protamine Hagedorn

21

22 *To the Editor:* We have reported a randomised, long-term
 23 safety study comparing the effects of using the insulin
 24 analogue glargine (A21Gly,B31Arg,B32Arg human insulin)
 25 versus human neutral protamine Hagedorn (NPH) insulin for
 26 5 years in the management of type 2 diabetes [1]. The study,
 27 in which 1017 patients were randomised and treated, was

designed to assess ocular complications of diabetes: there
 was no excess of such effects with insulin glargine compared
 with NPH treatment and there was a similar slow progres-
 sion of diabetic retinopathy with both types of insulin.
 Because of recent concerns about postulated neoplastic
 effects of insulins [2–5], we report here additional informa-
 tion from our study that bears on this question.

In total, the mean cumulative exposure in our study was
 more than 4 years (1,524 days in the insulin glargine group
 and 1,522 days in the NPH insulin group), with more than
 70% of patients exposed to more than 4 years of treatment
 (76% and 71%, respectively) (Table 1).

The baseline demographics and diabetes status were
 similar between the two treatment groups (insulin glargine
 vs NPH insulin): diabetes duration (10.7 vs 10.8 years),

J. Rosenstock
 University of Texas Southwestern Medical School,
 Dallas, TX, USA

J. Rosenstock (✉)
 Dallas Diabetes and Endocrine Center at Medical City,
 7777 Forest Lane C-685,
 Dallas, TX 75230, USA
 e-mail: juliorosenstock@dallasdiabetes.com

V. Fonseca
 Tulane University Medical Center,
 New Orleans, LA, USA

J. B. McGill
 Washington University School of Medicine,
 St Louis, MO, USA

M. Riddle
 Oregon Health and Science University,
 Portland, OR, USA

J. P. Hallé
 Université de Montréal,
 Montréal, QC, Canada

I. Hramiak
 University of Western Ontario,
 London, ON, Canada

P. Johnston
 sanofi-aventis,
 Bridgewater, NJ, USA

M. Davis
 University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health,
 Madison, WI, USA

t1.1	Table 1 Summary of extent of exposure (safety population)	Variable	Insulin glargine (<i>n</i> =514)	NPH insulin (<i>n</i> =503)	t1.2
		Cumulative exposure (patient-years)	2144.0	2095.8	t1.3
		Extent of exposure (days)			t1.4
		Mean	1523.55±571.77	1521.82±562.14	t1.5
	Data are mean±SD unless otherwise stated	Median	1821.50	1823.00	t1.6

43 BMI (34.5 vs 34.1 kg/m²), oral hypoglycaemic agent 57
 44 duration (9.0 vs 8.9 years), prior insulin use (67% vs 58
 45 70%), HbA_{1c} (8.4% vs 8.3%) and fasting plasma glucose 59
 46 (10.5 vs 10.0 mmol/l). [6], are shown in Table 2. Benign and malignant tumours 60
 47 Although the study was not designed to investigate the 61
 48 frequency of tumour development, the long duration of the 62
 49 trial enables a comparative assessment of the occurrence of 63
 50 benign or malignant tumours with insulin glargine and NPH 64
 51 insulin during more than 4 years of exposure, captured as 65
 52 adverse events in the course of routine safety monitoring. 66
 53 The number of patients with treatment-emergent adverse 67
 54 events (defined as events that first occurred or worsened 68
 55 after randomisation) of neoplasm, summarised by System 69
 56 Organ Class and High-level Group Term levels using the 70
 standard Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) coding dictionary (version 10.0; Maintenance and Support Services Organization, Chantilly, VA, USA)

t2.1 **Table 2** Patients with neoplasms reported as treatment-emergent adverse events

t2.2	All neoplasms ^a	Insulin glargine (<i>n</i> =514)	NPH insulin (<i>n</i> =503)
t2.3	Any event	57 (11.1)	62 (12.3)
t2.4	Breast neoplasms, malignant	3 (0.6)	5 (1.0)
t2.5	Cutaneous neoplasms, benign	13 (2.5)	12 (2.4)
t2.6	Endocrine neoplasms, malignant	5 (1.0)	7 (1.4)
t2.7	Gastrointestinal neoplasms, malignant	6 (1.2)	9 (1.8)
t2.8	Haematopoietic neoplasms	1 (0.2)	0
t2.9	Hepatobiliary neoplasms, malignant	0	1 (0.2)
t2.10	Lymphomas, non-Hodgkin's B cell	0	1 (0.2)
t2.11	Metastases, unspecified neoplasm	0	1 (0.2)
t2.12	Miscellaneous and site unspecified neoplasms, malignant	1 (0.2)	3 (0.6)
t2.13	Nervous system neoplasms, benign	1 (0.2)	2 (0.4)
t2.14	Ocular neoplasms, benign or malignant	3 (0.6)	2 (0.4)
t2.15	Plasma cell neoplasms, benign or malignant	1 (0.2)	0
t2.16	Renal and urinary tract neoplasms, malignant	2 (0.4)	1 (0.2)
t2.17	Reproductive neoplasms female, benign	2 (0.4)	1 (0.2)
t2.18	Reproductive neoplasms female, malignant	2 (0.4)	4 (0.8)
t2.19	Reproductive neoplasms male, malignant	2 (0.4)	3 (0.6)
t2.20	Respiratory and mediastinal neoplasms, malignant	6 (1.2)	2 (0.4)
t2.21	Skin neoplasms, malignant	11 (2.1)	9 (1.8)
t2.22	Soft tissue neoplasms, benign	3 (0.6)	4 (0.8)
t2.23	Soft tissue sarcomas, benign or malignant	0	1 (0.2)

Data are *n* (%), in alphabetical order

All patients with neoplasm-coded adverse events (whether considered serious or non-serious) as reported by the investigator are included. Patients may have had more than one adverse event

^a Includes MedDRA System Organ Class 'Neoplasm benign, malignant and unspecified (including cysts and polyps)' (version 10.0) [6]

71 treatment-emergent events was captured, the rate was also
72 similar in both treatment groups: 20 patients (3.9%) with 23
73 events in the insulin glargine group vs 31 patients (6.2%) with
74 32 events in the NPH insulin group, with an RR for insulin
75 glargine of 0.63 (95% CI 0.36–1.09).

76 The number of patients with malignant breast tumours
77 reported was also similar between the two treatment groups:
78 three patients in the insulin glargine group (all reported as
79 serious) compared with five patients (four reported as
80 serious) in the NPH insulin group.

81 The RR estimate of all malignant breast tumour cases,
82 including non-serious cases (three in the insulin glargine
83 group vs five in the NPH insulin group) numerically favours
84 insulin glargine (RR 0.59, 95% CI 0.14–2.44). Although the
85 95% CI includes 2, it must be noted that due to the small
86 numbers of patients and the small number of cases, there was
87 only a 22% power to reject a doubling in the risk (RR 2.0) of
88 developing this tumour, should the true risks be equal.

89 Considering all neoplasms (RR 0.9) and all malignant
90 neoplasms (RR 0.63), the results numerically favour insulin
91 glargine with 95% upper CI limits of 1.26 and 1.09,
92 respectively, indicating at most a 26% and 9% increase in risk.

93 In summary, this study is the longest controlled
94 treatment comparison of insulin glargine versus NPH
95 insulin in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. No new
96 safety issues emerged for either insulin studied based on the
97 data from this 5 year trial. Additional data reported here
98 also confirm that there was no evidence of any difference in
99 the rate of benign or malignant tumour development with
100 insulin glargine compared with NPH insulin.

101
102 **Duality of interest** J. Rosenstock has received grants for research
103 from and/or has been a consultant to Amylin, Boehringer-Ingelheim,
104 Bristol-Myers Squibb, Centocor, Eli Lilly, Emisphere, GlaxoSmithKline,
105 Johnson & Johnson, MannKind, Merck, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer,
106 Roche, Sankyo, sanofi-aventis and Takeda. V. Fonseca has received
107 research support (to Tulane University) with grants from GlaxoSmithKline,
108 Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Takeda, Astra-Zeneca, Pfizer, sanofi-aventis, Eli
109 Lilly, Daiichi-Sankyo, Novartis, the National Institutes of Health (NIH) and
110 the American Diabetes Association (ADA), and honoraria for consulting
111 and lectures from GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis, Takeda, Pfizer, sanofi-
112 aventis and Eli Lilly. J. McGill has received grant support (to Washington
113 University) from sanofi-aventis, Pfizer, Eli Lilly, Novo Nordisk, Novartis,
114 GlaxoSmithKline, Elixir, Tolterx, Biodel, Mannkind, Takeda; served
115 on advisory boards and speakers' bureaus for sanofi-aventis and
163

Novo Nordisk, and on speakers' bureaus for Eli Lilly, Merck, 116
Novartis, Novo Nordisk, sanofi-aventis, Daiichi-Sankyo, Forest and 117
GlaxoSmithKline; and has been a consultant/advisor for Merck, 118
Novo Nordisk, Elixir, Mannkind, Amgen. I. Hramiak has received 119
research grant support from Pfizer, sanofi-aventis, Novo Nordisk and 120
Eli Lilly and has served on advisory boards for GlaxoSmithKline, 121
Novo Nordisk, sanofi-aventis and Merck. J.-P. Hallé has received 122
grants for research from and/or has been a consultant and/or on the 123
speaker bureau of Bristol-Myers Squibb, ConjuChem, Bellus Health, 124
Eli Lilly, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck Frosst, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, 125
Pfizer, Roche, sanofi-aventis and Takeda. M. Riddle has received 126
grants for research and/or honoraria for consulting or lectures from 127
Amylin, Lilly, the Amylin-Lilly Alliance, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, 128
sanofi-aventis and Valeritas. P. Johnston is an employee of, and 129
holds stock options from sanofi-aventis. M. Davis has received 130
research support from sanofi-aventis. 131

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the 132
Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which per- 133
mits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any 134
medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited. 135

References 136

1. Rosenstock J, Fonseca V, McGill JB et al (2009) Similar 137
progression of diabetic retinopathy with insulin glargine and 138
neutral protamine Hagedorn (NPH) insulin in patients with type 2 139
diabetes: a long-term, randomised, open-label study. *Diabetologia*. 140
doi:10.1007/s00125-009-1415-7 141

2. Currie CJ, Poole CD, Gale EAM (2009) The influence of glucose- 142
lowering therapies on cancer risk in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetologia*. 143
doi:10.1007/s00125-009-1440-6 144

3. Hemkens LG, Grouven U, Bender R et al (2009) Risk of 145
malignancies in patients with diabetes treated with human insulin 146
or insulin analogues: a cohort study. *Diabetologia*. doi:10.1007/ 147
s00125-009-1418-4 148

4. SDRN Epidemiology Group (2009) Use of insulin glargine and cancer 149
incidence in Scotland: a study from the Scottish Diabetes Research 150
Network Epidemiology Group. *Diabetologia*. doi:10.1007/s00125- 151
009-1447-z 152

5. Jonasson JM, Ljung R, Talbäck M, Haglund B, Gudbjörnsdóttir S, 153
Steineck G (2009) Insulin glargine use and short-term incidence of 154
malignancies—a population-based follow-up study in Sweden. 155
Available from [www.diabetologia-journal.org/cancer_files/](http://www.diabetologia-journal.org/cancer_files/090776Jonassonacceptedpaper.pdf)
090776Jonassonacceptedpaper.pdf, accessed 29 June 2009 156
157

6. MedDRA—the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities 158
(2009) Available from [www.meddrasso.com/MSSOWeb/index.](http://www.meddrasso.com/MSSOWeb/index.htm)
htm, accessed 25 June 2009 159
160

7. ClinicalStudyResults.org (2009) Available from [www.clinicalstudy](http://www.clinicalstudyresults.org/)
results.org/, accessed 25 June 2009 161
162